UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

CASE NO.:

LYNDA MACEDA, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

JEFFREY GREENE a/k/a JEFF GREENE,

Defendant.

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

COMES NOW Lynda Maceda ("Ms. Maceda" or "Plaintiff"), on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated, and alleges as follows:

- 1. Plaintiff is bringing this class action against Jeffrey Greene a/k/a Jeff Greene ("Greene" or "Defendant") for his violations of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act, 47 U.S.C. § 227 and the regulations promulgated thereunder (the "TCPA").
- 2. Defendant, using an automatic telephone dialing system, caused to be made at least one call to Plaintiff that delivered a text messages to Plaintiff's cell phone without Plaintiff's prior express consent. These calls violated the TCPA, which prohibits the making of any calls to a cellular telephone using an automatic telephone dialing system without the prior express consent of the person to whom the call is made. For the past four years, Defendant has made thousands of identical or substantially similar calls using an automatic telephone dialing system to cellular telephones of thousands of persons throughout the United States without obtaining the prior express consent of the persons being called. Accordingly, Plaintiff is bringing this class action.

PARTIES

- 3. Ms. Maceda is a citizen and resident of Sumter County, Florida.
- 4. Upon information and belief, Jeff Greene is an individual who is a citizen and resident of Palm Beach, Florida.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 5. This Court has federal question jurisdiction over this action under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(1) because this is the judicial district in which Defendant resides.
- 6. Venue is this judicial district is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2) because this is the judicial district in which a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred.

STATUTORY BACKGROUND

The TCPA

- 7. The TCPA generally prohibits a person from making any telephone call using any automatic telephone dialing system to any telephone number assigned to a cellular telephone service without the prior express consent of the called party. 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(A)(iii); 47 C.F.R. § 64.1200(a)(1)(iii).
- 8. The TCPA defines the term "automatic telephone dialing system" as "equipment which has the capacity-- (A) to store or produce telephone numbers to be called, using a random or sequential number generator; and (B) to dial such numbers." 47 U.S.C. § 227(a)(1). *Accord* 47 C.F.R. § 64.1200(f)(2).
 - 9. Paragraph (3) of 47 U.S.C. § 227(b) provides:
 - (3) Private right of action

A person or entity may, if otherwise permitted by the laws or rules of court of a State, bring in an appropriate court of that State --

- (A) an action based on a violation of this subsection or the regulations prescribed under this subsection to enjoin such violation,
- (B) an action to recover for actual monetary loss from such a violation, or to receive \$500 in damages for each such violation, whichever is greater, or
- (C) both such actions.

If the court finds that the defendant willfully or knowingly violated this subsection or the regulations prescribed under this subsection, the court may, in its discretion, increase the amount of the award to an amount equal to not more than 3 times the amount available under subparagraph (B) of this paragraph.

Greene's Illegal Conduct

- 10. Upon information and belief, on July 31, 2018, Defendant, without Plaintiff's prior express consent, used an automatic telephone dialing system, within the meaning of the TCPA, to make, initiate and/or caused to be initiated a telephone call to Plaintiff's cellular telephone number, which was and remains 321-626-9500, to deliver a text message, a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit A. Plaintiff had no previous contact or dealings whatsoever with Defendant before receiving the text messages described above.
- 11. Upon information and belief, an automatic telephone dialing system within the meaning of the TCPA was used to make the above-described calls to Plaintiff's cell phone because the text messages were generic, impersonal and aimed at a mass audience. Specifically, Plaintiff received a text message on July 18, 2018 which reads as follows: "Hi, this is Democrat Jeff Greene running for governor. I'll stand up to Donald Trump and for Florida's families. Joseph, if you want world-class schools, commonsense gun reform and to protect women's choice, please vote for me with your absentee ballot! Can we count on your support?"

- 12. Upon information and belief, Defendant, using an automatic telephone dialing system sent this text message to thousands of persons on or about the same date.
- 13. Plaintiff suffered concrete harm as a result of the above telephone call and resulting text message in that the telephone call tied up Plaintiff's telephone line, invaded Plaintiff's privacy, disturbed Plaintiff's solitude, used up Plaintiff's cell phone battery, and wasted Plaintiff's time.
- 14. Upon information and belief, over the last four years, Defendant, using an automatic telephone dialing system within the meaning of the TCPA, made thousands of telephone calls to the cellular telephones of thousands of persons throughout the United States, without having received prior express consent from the called parties, to deliver text messages identical or substantially similar to the text messages described above that Defendant left for Plaintiff.

CLASS ALLEGATIONS

- 15. Plaintiff brings this class action on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated, under Rules 23(a) and 23(b)(1)-23(b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, for Defendant's violations of the TCPA.
 - 16. Plaintiff seek to represent a class of individuals ("the Class") defined as follows:
 - All persons in the United States, from four years prior to the filing of the instant Complaint through the date of the filing of the instant Complaint, to whom, without obtaining the persons' prior express consent, Defendant, using an automatic telephone dialing system as defined in the TCPA, made, initiated and/or caused to be initiated any calls to the persons' cellular telephones, that delivered a text message identical or substantially similar to the messages described above that Defendant left for Plaintiff.
- 17. <u>Numerosity</u>: The Class is so numerous that joinder of all individual members in one action would be impracticable. The disposition of the individual claims of the Class members

through this the class action will benefit both the parties and this Court.

- 18. Upon information and belief, the Class contains at a minimum thousands of members.
- 19. Upon information and belief, the Class' size and the identities of the individual members thereof are ascertainable through Defendant's records, including, but not limited to Defendant's call records.
- 20. Members of the Class may be notified of the pendency of this action by techniques and forms commonly used in the class actions, such as by published notice, e-mail notice, website notice, fax notice, first class mail, or combinations thereof, or by other methods suitable to the Class and deemed necessary and/or appropriate by the Court.
- 21. <u>Typicality</u>: Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the members of the Class. The claims of the Plaintiff and members of the Class are based on the same legal theories and arise from the same unlawful conduct.
- 22. Defendant, using an automatic telephone dialing system within the meaning of the TCPA, made, initiated and/or caused to be initiated at least one telephone call to Plaintiff and each member of the Class, without obtaining the called parties' prior express consent, that delivered a text message identical or substantially similar to the text messages described above that Defendant left for Plaintiff.
- 23. <u>Common Questions of Fact and Law</u>: There is a well-defined community of common questions of fact and law affecting the Plaintiff and members of the Class.
- 24. The questions of fact and law common to Plaintiff and the Class predominate over questions which may affect individual members and include the following:
 - (a) Whether Defendant's conduct of using an automatic telephone dialing system

within the meaning of the TCPA, to make, initiate or cause to be initiated at least one telephone call to Plaintiff and each member of the Class, without obtaining the called parties' prior express consent, that delivered a text message identical or substantially similar to the messages described above that Defendant left for Plaintiff, violated the TCPA?

- (b) Whether Plaintiff and the members of the Class are entitled to statutory damages from Defendant under the TCPA?
- (c) Whether Defendant's violations of the TCPA were willful or knowing?
- (d) Whether Plaintiff and the members of the Class are entitled to up to triple statutory damages under the TCPA from Defendant for Defendant's willful and knowing violations of the TCPA?
- (e) Whether Plaintiff and the members of the Class are entitled to a permanent injunction under the TCPA enjoining Defendant from continuing to engage in its unlawful conduct?
- 25. Adequacy of Representation: Plaintiff is an adequate representative of the Class because Plaintiff's interests do not conflict with the interests of the members of the Class. Plaintiff will fairly, adequately and vigorously represent and protect the interests of the members of the Class and has no interests antagonistic to the members of the Class. Plaintiff has retained counsel who are competent and experienced in litigation in the federal courts, class action litigation and TCPA litigation.
- 26. <u>Superiority</u>: A class action is superior to other available means for the fair and efficient adjudication of the claims of the Class. While the aggregate damages which may be awarded to the members of the Class are likely to be substantial, the damages suffered by

individual members of the Class are relatively small. As a result, the expense and burden of individual litigation makes it economically infeasible and procedurally impracticable for each member of the Class to individually seek redress for the wrongs done to them. Plaintiff does not know of any other litigation concerning this controversy already commenced against Defendant by any member of the Class. The likelihood of the individual members of the Class prosecuting separate claims is remote. Individualized litigation would also present the potential for varying, inconsistent or contradictory judgments, and would increase the delay and expense to all parties and the court system resulting from multiple trials of the same factual issues. In contrast, the conduct of this matter as a class action presents fewer management difficulties, conserves the resources of the parties and the court system, and would protect the rights of each member of the Class. Plaintiff knows of no difficulty to be encountered in the management of this action that would preclude its maintenance as a class action.

27. <u>Injunctive Relief</u>: Defendant has acted on grounds generally applicable to Plaintiff and members of the Class, thereby making appropriate final injunctive relief with respect to Plaintiff and the members of the Class.

AS AND FOR A FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

- 28. Plaintiff repeats each and every allegation contained in all of the above paragraphs and incorporates such allegations by reference.
- 29. By Defendant's above-described conduct, Defendant committed thousands of violations of the TCPA against Plaintiff and the members of the Class.
- 30. Accordingly, Plaintiff and the members of the Class are entitled to statutory damages from Defendant under 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3) of greater than \$5,000,000 and an injunction against Defendant ordering it to cease its violations of the TCPA.

31. If it is found that Defendant willfully and/or knowingly violated the TCPA, Plaintiff

and the members of the Class request an increase by the Court of the damage award against

Defendant, described in the preceding paragraph, to three times the amount available under 47

U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B), as authorized by 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3) for willful or knowing violations,

which amounts to greater than \$15,000,000.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for:

A. An order certifying the Class and appointing Plaintiff as the representative

of the Class and appointing the law firms representing Plaintiff as counsel for the Class;

B. An award to Plaintiff and the members of the Class of more than \$5,000,000

against Defendant for his violations of the TCPA;

C. If it is found that Defendant willfully and/or knowingly violated the TCPA,

Plaintiff and the members of the Class request an increase by the Court of the damage

award against Defendant, described in the preceding paragraph, to three times the amount

available under 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B), as authorized by 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3) for

willful or knowing violations, which amounts to greater than \$15,000,000;

D. An injunction against Defendant, on behalf of Plaintiff and the members of

the Class, prohibiting Defendant from violating the TCPA; and

E. Such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff demands a trial by jury.

Respectfully submitted,

Yechezkel Rodal, Esq. RODAL LAW, P.A.

5300 N.W. 33rd Ave., Ste. 219 Ft. Lauderdale, Florida 33309

Phone: (954) 367- 5308 Fax: (954) 900- 1208 Chezky@rodallaw.com

Aytan Y. Bellin, Esq. (motion for admission *pro hac vice* to be filed shortly)

BELLIN & ASSOCIATES LLC

50 Main Street, Suite 1000 White Plains, NY 10606 Phone: (914) 358-5345

Fax: (212) 571-0284

Aytan.Bellin@bellinlaw.com

Attorneys for the Plaintiff and the proposed Class

EXHIBIT A

Text Message Today 12:34 PM

(334) 330-0/44

Hi, this is Democrat Jeff Greene running for Governor. I'll stand up to Donald Trump and fight for Florida's families. Joseph, if you want world class schools, common sense gun reform and to protect women's choice, please vote for me with your absentee ballot! Can we count on your support?

JS 44 (Rev. Case. 9:1-9:1-0:1-0:06) Page 1 of 1

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket cheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.) NOTICE: Attorneys MUST Indicate All Re-filed Cases Below.

of initiating the civil docket she	t nis form, approved by the Jud et. <i>(SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEX</i>	aicial Conference of the TPAGE OF THIS FORM.,	Diffed States in September NOTICE: Attorneys MUS	T Indicate All	Re-filed Cases I	ne Cierk of Cout <mark>Below</mark> .	t for the purpose
	Lynda Maceda, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated,		DEFENDANT	S Jeffrey G	reene a/k/a Je	ff Greene	
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Sumter (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)			County of Residence NOTE: Attorneys (If Known	<i>(IN U.S. PL</i> IN LAND CO THE TRACT	d Defendant Pa AINTIFF CASES ON NDEMNATION COF LAND INVOL	O <i>NLY)</i> ASES, USE THE I	LOCATION OF
(d) Check County Where Actio	n Arose: 🗖 miami-dade 🗖 n	MONROE 🗖 BROWARD 📮	▼ PALM BEACH □ MARTIN □ ST.	LUCIE 🗖 INDIAN	I RIVER	OBEE HIGHLAN	DS
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in One	e Box Only) III	. CITIZENSHIP OF F	PRINCIPAI	PARTIES (Place an "X" in On	e Box for Plaintiff)
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	Federal Q (U.S. Government Not a	*		PTF DEF □ 1 □ 1	Incorporated or Pri of Business In This		· Defendant) PTF DEF 4
2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of	*	Citizen of Another State	2 2	Incorporated and P of Business In A	•	5 55
W. NATURE OF CHIT			Foreign Country		Foreign Nation		6 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	(Place an "X" in One Box Only) TORTS		Click here for: Nature of Suit Code FORFEITURE/PENALTY		KRUPTCY	OTHER S	STATUTES
□ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment □ 151 Medicare Act □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits □ 160 Stockholders' Suits □ 190 Other Contract □ 195 Contract Product Liability □ 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY □ 210 Land Condemnation □ 220 Foreclosure □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 240 Torts to Land □ 245 Tort Product Liability □ 290 All Other Real Property	□ 310 Airplane □ □ 315 Airplane Product Liability □ 320 Assault, Libel & Slander □ 330 Federal Employers' Liability □ 340 Marine □ 345 Marine Product Liability □ 350 Motor Vehicle □ 355 Motor Vehicle □ 700 Product Liability □ 360 Other Personal Injury □ 362 Personal Injury □ 362 Personal Injury □ 444 Other Civil Rights □ 444 Voting □ 442 Employment □ 443 Housing/ Accommodations □ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities □ □ Employment □ 446 Amer. w/Disabilities □ □ 448 Education □ □	370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage 385 Property Damage Product Liability RISONER PETITIONS Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence Other: 530 General 535 Death Penalty	□ 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 □ 690 Other LABOR □ 710 Fair Labor Standards Act □ 720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations □ 740 Railway Labor Act □ 751 Family and Medical Leave Act □ 790 Other Labor Litigation □ 791 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act IMMIGRATION □ 462 Naturalization Application □ 465 Other Immigration Actions	28 US PROPEI 820 Copyr 830 Patent 835 Patent 840 Trader 840 Trader 861 HIA (862 Black 863 DIWC 865 RS1 (4	rawal C 157 RTY RIGHTS ights - Abbreviated Application nark . SECURITY	891 Agriculto 893 Environi 895 Freedom Act 896 Arbitrati 899 Adminis Act/Review of	apportionment t and Banking rec cion er Influenced and anizations er Credit at TV ss/Commodities/ atutory Actions ural Acts mental Matters of Information on trative Procedure or Appeal of
1 Original 2 Remore from S Proceeding Court	state (See VI or Reo	pened 5 Transfer another (specify)	district Litigation Transfer	Distric from I Judgn	et Judge Magistrate nent	Multidistrict □9 Litigation □9 – Direct File	Remanded from Appellate Court
VI. RELATED/ RE-FILED CASE(S)	(See instructions): a) Re- JUDGE:	-filed Case □YES	✓ NO b) Related		S 💋 NO KET NUMBER	ł:	
VII. CAUSE OF ACTION OF THE COMPLAINT:	Cite the U.S. Civil Statute	ng texts without pri days estimated (1	ling and Write a Brief Statem or express consent for both sides to try entire cas DEMAND \$15,000,00	nent of Cause (Ase) 00.00 CF	Do not cite jurisdict IECK YES only i	if demanded in c	complaint:
ABOVE INFORMATION IS TO DATE March 7, 2019	TRUE & CORRECT TO THE	E BEST OF MY KNO SIGNATURE OF	LEDGE FERNEY OF RECORD	JUR'	Y DEMAND:	Yes Yes	□ No

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY
RECEIPT # AMOUNT IFP JUDGE MAG JUDGE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Southern District of Florida

Southern Dis	office of Fioritia						
Lynda Maceda, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated,)))						
Plaintiff(s)							
	Civil Action No.						
V.) Civil Action No.						
Jeffrey Greene a/k/a Jeff Greene							
Defendant(s)							
Defendani(s))						
SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION							
To: (Defendant's name and address) Jeff Greene a/k/a Jeffrey G 1200 S. Ocean Boulevard Palm Beach Florida 33480							
A lawsuit has been filed against you. Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are: Yechezkel Rodal, Esq. Rodal Law, P.A. 5300 N.W. 33rd Ave., Ste. 219 Ft. Lauderdale, Florida 33309 Chezky@RodalLaw.com							
	entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint.						
	CLERK OF COURT						
Date:							
	Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk						
	g						

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (1))

	This summons for (na	ume of individual and title, if an	y)							
was rec	ceived by me on (date)		·							
	☐ I personally served	d the summons on the indi	ividual at (place)							
			on (date)	; or						
	☐ I left the summons	s at the individual's reside	nce or usual place of abode with (name)							
	, a person of suitable age and discretion who resides there,									
	on (date), and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or									
	☐ I served the summons on (name of individual)									
	designated by law to accept service of process on behalf of (name of organization)									
		; or								
	☐ I returned the sum	mons unexecuted because		; or						
	☐ Other (specify):									
	My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$	0.00						
	I declare under penalty of perjury that this information is true.									
Date:										
Date.		_	Server's signature							
		_	Printed name and title							
		_	Server's address							

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc: